#### REZONING

Case Coordinator: Michael McCauley

# **GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION**

# SITE ZONING: PD-63 for the (SF-12/20) Single-Family Residential District

#### SURROUNDING ZONING

#### SURROUNDING LAND USES

		or the (SF-12/20) Single- desidential District	Church			
		or the (SF-PH) Single-Family me District	Single-Family Residential Subdivision			
		or the (MF-15) Multi-Family ial District	Apartment Complex			
		the (SF-12/20) Single- Elementary School				
<b>REQUEST:</b>		Approval for an amendment to PD-63 to change the zoning on a 3.4-acre tract from (SF-12/20) Single-Family Residential District to (SF-TH) Single-Family Townhouse Residential District with special development standards				
<b>PROPOSED USE:</b>		Townhouse Residential development				
ACRES/LOTS:		3.4 Acres/35 residential lots				
LOCATION:		Vicinity of southwest corner of Frankford Road and McCoy Road				
HISTORY:		PD-63 was established in 1980 as a 525-acre master plan with various residential, retail and office uses.				
			change the Office Zoning District to H/Single-Family Patio Home District) uthern perimeter of this site.			
		PD-63 was amended in 1992 to (SF-12/20) Single-Family Reside	o change the (O-2) Office District to ential District for this site.			
COMPREHE PLAN:	NSIVE	Single-Family Detached				
TRANSPORT PLAN:	TATION	McCoy Road is designated Collector.	as a (C2U) Two-Lane Undivided			

**OWNER:** Redeemer Evangelical Covenant Church, Inc.

**REPRESENTED BY:** Harlan Properties, Inc.

## **STAFF ANALYSIS**

#### **PROPOSAL/BACKGROUND**

This is a request for approval for an amendment to PD-63 to change the zoning on a 3.4-acre tract from (SF-12/20) Single-Family Residential District to (SF-TH) Single-Family Townhouse Residential District with special development standards for a 35-lot townhouse development without alleys.

PD-63 was established in 1980 as a 525-acre master plan with various residential, retail and office uses. It was amended in 1983 to change the Office Zoning District to Single-Family Detached (SF-PH/Single-Family Patio Home District) for the property bordering the southern perimeter of this site. The Planned Development was later amended in 1992 to change the (O-2) Office District to (SF-12/20) Single-Family Residential District for this site.

The following table provides a comparison of the current (SF-12/20) Single-Family Residential District standards, proposed (SF-TH) Single-Family Townhouse Residential District and the proposed standards from the applicant. Other than the base zoning in PD-63, the Planned Development does not provide any restrictions or limitations to the property.

	(SF-12/20)	(SF-TH)	(SF-TH)
Requirements	Current Standards	Current Standards	Proposed Standards
Minimum Lot Area (sq. ft.)	12,000	3,500	2,500
Maximum Building Coverage (%)	45	45	70
Minimum Lot Width (ft.)	90	35	25
Minimum Lot Depth (ft.)	120	100	100
Minimum Front Setback (ft.)	35	20	20
Minimum Rear Yard Setback (ft.)	20	10	10
Minimum Brick or Stone Content (%)	70	70	86
Alleys Required	Yes	Yes	No

### **ELEMENTS TO CONSIDER**

• The subject property has a 30 foot ingress/egress easement and 15 foot utility easement used by the church and the adjoining elementary school from McCoy Road. As shown by the applicant, the proposed townhouse development will be over the easements.

The City Engineering Department stipulated a separate easement and driveway be provided along the northern perimeter of the proposed development between McCoy Road and the Rainwater Elementary parking lot to avoid access from Joy Drive (proposed). This will eliminate any possible stacking and congestion on Joy Drive caused by vehicular traffic to and from the school and church. The applicant informed staff that the Church will not sell the developer any additional land.

 Appropriate transitional methods should be considered at all locations where the development of higher-density residential land uses abuts lower-density residential property (either built or zoned). In general, transitions between different types of intensities of land use should be made gradually, particularly where natural or man-made buffers are not available.

The applicant is not providing a transitional buffer.

 The retention of trees, natural vegetation, and environmentally sensitive areas whenever possible to separate low-density residential developments from other more intensive land uses, such as townhouse development, should be applied wherever possible.

The applicant is not proposing any landscape buffering between the residential uses.

Avoid the use of fences as a sole means of providing screening and buffering.

The applicant is providing an 8' brick screening wall along the northern perimeter of the development site and a 6' brick wall along the eastern perimeter. Further, the applicant is providing a 6' cedar fence along the rest of the developments perimeter.

 Because of the narrowness of townhouse development lots, garage access should be from the rear via an alley.

The applicant is not proposing alleys with the townhouse development.

 "Minimized emphasis" on garages facing the front should be considered when single-family residential development is considered.

The applicant's proposal maximizes the garages on the front façade.

• Townhouse developments require 1 guest parking space per 4 dwelling units. The applicant is required to provide 9 guest parking spaces.

The applicant is not providing any guest parking spaces.

- Due to the applicant's proposal, they are not able to provide a 15 ft. landscape buffer along McCoy Road as requested by staff.
- The city's driveway ordinance requires the driveway location to be a minimum 40 feet from the intersecting property lines from McCoy Road and Joy Drive. Appeal to the Director of Engineer for the distance reduction is required.

The applicant has not asked the Director of Engineering for a reduction.

• The applicant has stated that the building elevations will be consistent with his Shoals Creek Trails townhouse development project in Garland.

Shoals Creek is a master-planned townhouse development with guest parking and a community pool. This proposal has neither.

The city has approved a few residential townhouse developments with lot widths 35 feet or less. Below is a listing of some of these residential townhouse developments and their design features:

Subdivision Name	Lot Width	Guest Parking	Alleys	Front Entry Garage
Parkview Villas	22.00	Yes	Yes	No
Austin Woods Phase 1	30.00	Yes	Yes	No
Raiford Crossing	25.00	Yes	Yes	No
Estates of Indian Creek, Phase 4	27.50	Yes	Yes	No
Mustang Park, Phase 7	22.00	Yes	Yes	No
Quail Creek North, Phase 1	25.00	Yes	Yes	No

### CONCLUSION

The city has required newer townhouse developments, including those with lot widths less than the required 35 feet, to include alleys and open green space. Staff believes the proposed amendment and zoning change will encourage future townhouse developments without alleys and with front loaded garages as the main front focal feature. Unlike a master-planned residential townhouse development, where transitional buffers, open space, guest parking and amenities are well-planned for different residential densities, this approximately 3.4-acre site is limited to what it can design. Therefore, staff does not support the applicant's request.